

PRINTER RUSH

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

I FW

Application: 09/749601 Examiner: Krust GAU: 1638

From: cwc

Location: IDC FMF FDC

Date: 1-20-05

Tracking #: 06025814 Week Date: 10-18-04

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM		<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

Sequence Listings
12-28-2000

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

- Sequence Listing of 12-28-2000 cites 15 sequences
on page 1 but contains 14 sequences
- STIC copy in CRFL 3-18-02 cites 14 sequences
- Spec page 4 of 31 12-28-2000 refers to Seq. List No 16
Should pp. 13-20 of Seq. List. be printed?
If so, where: with seq. listing or
before claim section

Please resolve

Thank you

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: The SEQ LIST line <160> of 12/24/2000 is an error and should refer to 14 sequences, the CRFL of 3/18/2002 is correct. Do NOT publish pages 13-18 of the 12/28/2000 SEQ LIST, pages 19 and 20 are part of Figure 1, not page numbers. The references to SEQ IN NO:14 on page 4 of the specification are typographic errors. I have contacted the Attorney to suggest the file a Certificate of Correction. These issues do not affect the claims.

INITIALS: DHK

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response 1 february 2005
document coded as XRUSH.
REV 10/04